

# OPDAM FUNCTIONS: PRODUCT FORMULA AND CONVOLUTION STRUCTURE IN DIMENSION 1

J.-PH. ANKER, F. AYADI AND M. SIFI

ABSTRACT. Let  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$  be the eigenfunctions of the Dunkl-Cherednik operator  $T^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . In this paper we express the product  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)$  as an integral in terms of  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)$  with an explicit kernel. In general this kernel is not positive. Furthermore, by taking the so-called rational limit, we recover the product formula for the Dunkl kernels proved in [13]. We then define and study a convolution structure associated to  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Opdam hypergeometric functions  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  are normalized eigenfunctions

$$\begin{cases} T^{(\alpha,\beta)} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = i\lambda G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \\ G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$

of the differential-difference operator

$$T^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(x) = f'(x) + \left( (2\alpha + 1) \coth x + (2\beta + 1) \tanh x \right) \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} - \rho f(-x). \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . In Cherednik's notation,  $T^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  writes

$$T(k_1, k_2) f(x) = f'(x) + \left( \frac{2k_1}{1 - e^{-2x}} + \frac{4k_2}{1 - e^{-4x}} \right) (f(x) - f(-x)) - (k_1 + 2k_2) f(x),$$

with  $\alpha = k_1 + k_2 - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\beta = k_2 - \frac{1}{2}$ . The function  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  can be expressed as follows in terms of the Jacobi functions  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  :

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) - \frac{1}{\rho - i\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x). \quad (1.2)$$

As main references we use the primary articles [11, 3] and the lecture notes [4, 12].

This paper deals with harmonic analysis for the eigenfunctions  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ . We derive mainly a product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ , which is analogous to the corresponding result of Flensted-Jensen and Koornwinder [6] for Jacobi functions, and of Ben Salem

---

*Key words and phrases.* Dunkl-Cherednik operator, product formula, convolution product, Opdam-Cherednik transform, Kunze-Stein phenomenon.

*Acknowledgements.* Authors partially by the DGRST project 04/UR/15-02, the cooperation program PHC Utique/CMCU 10G 1503 and by the "Laboratoire MAPMO" (UMR 6628).

and Ould Ahmed Salem [1] for the Jacobi-Dunkl functions. The product formula is the key information needed in order to define an associated convolution structure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . More precisely, we deduce the product formula

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (1.3)$$

from the corresponding formula for  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Here  $\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is an explicit real valued measure with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which may not be positive and which is uniformly bounded in  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We conclude the first part of the paper by recovering as a limit case the product formula for the Dunkl kernel proved in [13].

In the second part of the paper, we use the product formula (1.3) to define and study the translation operators

$$\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z)d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z).$$

We next define the convolution product of suitable functions  $f$  and  $g$  by

$$f *_{\alpha,\beta} g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(-y)g(y)A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|)dy,$$

where  $A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) = \sinh(|y|)^{2\alpha+1} \cosh(y)^{2\beta+1}$ . We show in particular that  $f *_{\alpha,\beta} g = g *_{\alpha,\beta} f$  and that  $\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g) = \mathcal{F}(f)\mathcal{F}(g)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the so-called Opdam-Cherednik transform. Eventually we prove an analog of the Kunze-Stein phenomenon for the  $*_{\alpha,\beta}$ -convolution product of  $L^p$ -spaces.

In the last part of the paper, we construct an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ , generalizing the corresponding result of Koornwinder [10] for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, A_{\alpha,\beta}(x)dx)$ . As a limit case, we recover the Hermite functions constructed by Rosenblum [14] in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |x|^{2\alpha+1}dx)$ .

Our paper is organised as follows. In section 2, we recall some properties and formulas for Jacobi functions. In section 3, we give the proof of the product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ . Section 4 is devoted to the translation operators and the associated convolution product. Section 5 contains a Kunze-Stein type phenomenon. In the last section 6, we construct an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some properties of the Jacobi functions. See [6] and [7] for more details, as well as the survey [10].

For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  be the Jacobi function defined by

$$\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(\rho + i\lambda), \frac{1}{2}(\rho - i\lambda); \alpha + 1; -\sinh^2(x)\right),$$

where  $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$  and  ${}_2F_1$  denotes the hypergeometric function. For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $x, y \geq 0$ , the Jacobi functions satisfy the following product formula

$$\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|) dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \psi), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\gamma(x, y, r, \psi) = \cosh x \cosh y + r e^{i\psi} \sinh x \sinh y,$$

and

$$dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \psi) = 2M_{\alpha, \beta} (1 - r^2)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} (r \sin \psi)^{2\beta} r dr d\psi \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$M_{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})}.$$

When  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , the product formula becomes

$$\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(y) = M_\alpha \int_0^\pi \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, 1, \psi)|) \sin^{2\alpha}(\psi) d\psi, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $M_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}$ . Notice that the limit cases  $\alpha > \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  are connected by the quadratic transformation  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, -\frac{1}{2})}(x) = \varphi_{2\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(\frac{x}{2})$ .

For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  and fixed  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we perform the change of variables  $(r, \psi) \mapsto (z, \chi)$  defined by

$$\cosh z e^{i\chi} = \gamma(x, y, r, \psi), \quad (2.4)$$

i.e.

$$r \cos \psi = \frac{\cosh z \cos \chi - \cosh x \cosh y}{\sinh x \sinh y}, \quad r \sin \psi = \frac{\cosh z \sin \chi}{\sinh x \sinh y}.$$

This implies in particular that

$$\cosh(|x| - |y|) \leq \cosh(z) \leq \cosh(|x| + |y|),$$

and therefore  $x, y, z$  satisfy the triangular inequality

$$||x| - |y|| \leq |z| \leq |x| + |y|.$$

Moreover, an easy computation gives

$$1 - r^2 = (\sinh x \sinh y)^{-2} g(x, y, z, \chi),$$

where

$$g(x, y, z, \chi) := 1 - \cosh^2 x - \cosh^2 y - \cosh^2 z + 2 \cosh x \cosh y \cosh z \cos \chi. \quad (2.5)$$

Furthermore, the measure  $\sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y r dr d\psi$  becomes  $\cosh z \sinh z dz d\chi$ , and therefore the measure  $dm_{\alpha, \beta}$  given by (2.2) becomes

$$dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \psi) = 2M_{\alpha, \beta} g(x, y, z, \chi)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \sin^{2\beta} \chi A_{\alpha, \beta}(z) dz d\chi,$$

where

$$A_{\alpha, \beta}(z) := (\sinh z)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh z)^{2\beta+1}. \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, the product formula (2.1) reads

$$\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)W_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z)A_{\alpha,\beta}(z)dz, \quad x,y > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$W_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z) := 2M_{\alpha,\beta}(\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \int_0^\pi g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} \sin^{2\beta} \chi d\chi \quad (2.8)$$

if  $x,y,z > 0$  satisfy  $|x-y| < z < x+y$  and  $W_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z) = 0$  otherwise. Here

$$g_+ = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } g > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We point out that the function  $W_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z)$  is nonnegative, symmetric in the variables  $x,y,z$  and that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} W_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z)A_{\alpha,\beta}(z)dz = 1.$$

Furthermore, in [6, Formula (4.19)] the authors express  $W_{\alpha,\beta}$  as follows in terms of the hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1$  : For every  $x,y,z > 0$  satisfying the triangular inequality  $|x-y| < z < x+y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha,\beta}(x,y,z) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} (\cosh x \cosh y \cosh z)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times (1-B^2)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha+\beta, \alpha-\beta; \alpha+\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1-B}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$B := \frac{\cosh^2 x + \cosh^2 y + \cosh^2 z - 1}{2 \cosh x \cosh y \cosh z}.$$

Notice that

$$1 \pm B = \frac{(\cosh(x+y) \pm \cosh z)(\cosh z \pm \cosh(x-y))}{2 \cosh x \cosh y \cosh z},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - B^2 &= \frac{(\cosh 2(x+y) - \cosh 2z)(\cosh 2z - \cosh 2(x-y))}{16 \cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y \cosh^2 z} \\ &= \frac{\sinh(x+y+z) \sinh(x+y-z) \sinh(x-y+z) \sinh(-x+y+z)}{4 \cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y \cosh^2 z}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

In the case  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we use instead the change of variables

$$\cosh z = |\gamma(x,y,1,\psi)| = |\cosh x \cosh y + e^{i\psi} \sinh x \sinh y|,$$

and we obtain the same product formula (2.7), where  $W_{\alpha,\alpha}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha,\alpha}(x, y, z) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} 2^{4\alpha+1} (\sinh 2x \sinh 2y \sinh 2z)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times [\sinh(x + y + z) \sinh(x + y - z)]^{\alpha-1/2} \\ &\quad \times [\sinh(x - y + z) \sinh(-x + y + z)]^{\alpha-1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

In the case  $\alpha > \beta = -\frac{1}{2}$ , we use the quadratic transformation

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, -\frac{1}{2})}(2x) = \varphi_{2\lambda}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}(x),$$

and we obtain again the product formula (2.7), with

$$W_{\alpha, -\frac{1}{2}}(x, y, z) = 2^{-2\alpha} W_{\alpha, \alpha}\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{y}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right).$$

As noticed by Koornwinder [9] (see also [7]), the product formulas (2.1) and (2.7) are closely connected with the addition formula for the Jacobi functions, that we recall now for later use:

$$\varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|) = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq k < \infty} \varphi_{\lambda, k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \varphi_{-\lambda, k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) \chi_{k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r, \psi) \Pi_{k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\varphi_{\lambda, k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha, \beta}(-\lambda)}{c_{\alpha+k+l, \beta+k-l}(-\lambda)} (2 \sinh x)^{k-l} (2 \cosh x)^{k+l} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+k+l, \beta+k-l)}(x)$$

are modified Jacobi functions with

$$c_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) = \frac{2^{\rho-i\lambda} \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{\rho}{2} + i\frac{\lambda}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2} + i\frac{\lambda}{2})},$$

$$\chi_{k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r, \psi) = r^{k-l} R_l^{(\alpha-\beta-1, \beta+k-l)}(2r^2 - 1) R_{k-l}^{(\beta-\frac{1}{2}, \beta-\frac{1}{2})}(\cos \psi),$$

with

$$R_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = {}_2F_1\left(-n, n + \rho; \alpha + 1; \frac{1-x}{2}\right),$$

and

$$\Pi_{k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)} = \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \chi_{k, l}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(r, \psi)^2 dm_{\alpha, \beta}(r, \psi) \right)^{-1} \quad (2.13)$$

are normalizing constants.

3. THE PRODUCT FORMULA FOR  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ 

For  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\chi \in [0, \pi]$ , let

$$\sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi = \begin{cases} \frac{\cosh x \cosh y - \cosh z \cos \chi}{\sinh x \sinh y} & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } xy = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Furthermore, if  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , let us define  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) &= M_{\alpha,\beta}(\sinh |x| \sinh |y| \sinh |z|)^{-2\alpha} \int_0^\pi g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[ 1 - \sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi + \sigma_{z,x,y}^\chi + \sigma_{z,y,x}^\chi + \frac{\rho}{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} \coth x \coth y \coth z (\sin \chi)^2 \right] \\ &\quad \times (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \end{aligned}$$

if  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^*$  satisfy the triangular inequality  $||x| - |y|| < |z| < |x| + |y|$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = 0$  otherwise. Here  $g(x, y, z, \chi)$  is as in (2.5).

**Remark 3.1.** *The following symmetry properties are easy to check:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(y, x, z), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(-z, y, -x), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, -z, -y). \end{cases}$$

Recall the eigenfunctions  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  from the introduction. This section is devoted to the proof of the following main result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  satisfies the following product formula*

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z),$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here

$$d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z)A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|)dz & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ \delta_x & \text{if } y = 0, \\ \delta_y & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

and  $A_{\alpha,\beta}$  is as in (2.6).

Let us split the eigenfunction  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  as

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)} = G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)} + G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$$

into its even and odd parts:

$$G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\rho - i\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \\ &= \frac{\rho + i\lambda}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , the product formula (2.7) for the Jacobi functions yields

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) &= \int_{||x|-|y||}^{|x|+|y|} G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)W_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|, |y|, z)A_{\alpha,\beta}(z)dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)W_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|, |y|, |z|)A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|)dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_{x,y} := [-|x| - |y|, -||x| - |y||] \cup [||x| - |y||, |x| + |y|]. \quad (3.3)$$

Next let us turn to the mixed products. The following statement is Lemma 2.2 in [1].

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) &= M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)(\sinh |x| \sinh |y| \sinh |z|)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^{\pi} g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} \sigma_{x,z,y}^{\chi} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|)dz \end{aligned}$$

where  $g(x, y, z, \chi)$  is given by (2.5),  $\sigma_{x,z,y}^{\chi}$  is given by (3.1), and  $I_{x,y}$  is as in (3.3).

We consider now purely odd products, which is the most difficult case.

**Lemma 3.4.** *For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) &= M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)(\sinh |x| \sinh |y| \sinh |z|)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^{\pi} g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} \left( -\sigma_{x,y,z}^{\chi} - \frac{\rho}{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} \coth x \coth y \coth z \sin^2 \chi \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|)dz. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* For  $x, y > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) &= \frac{(\rho + i\lambda)^2}{16(\alpha + 1)^2} \sinh(2x) \sinh(2y) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) := -\frac{\lambda^2 + \rho^2}{16(\alpha + 1)^2} \sinh(2x) \sinh(2y) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y), \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) := \frac{\rho(\rho+i\lambda)}{8(\alpha+1)^2} \sinh(2x) \sinh(2y) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y). \quad (3.5)$$

We can rewrite  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) = - \int_0^1 \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x,y,r,\psi)|) r \cos \psi dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r,\psi). \quad (3.6)$$

Indeed, by means of the addition formula (2.12) for the Jacobi functions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^{\pi} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x,y,r,\psi)|) \chi_{1,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(r,\psi) dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r,\psi) \\ &= \varphi_{\lambda,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \varphi_{-\lambda,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) \Pi_{1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)} \int_0^1 \int_0^{\pi} \chi_{1,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(r,\psi) dm_{\alpha,\beta}(r,\psi) \\ &= \varphi_{\lambda,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \varphi_{-\lambda,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_{1,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(r,\psi) = r \cos \psi$ ,  $\varphi_{\mu,1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{\rho+i\lambda}{4(\alpha+1)} \sinh 2x \varphi_{\mu}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x)$  and  $\Pi_{1,0}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is the constant (2.13). Now (3.6) follows from the fact that  $\varphi_{-\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) = \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x)$ . Moreover, by performing the change of variables  $(r,\psi) \rightarrow (z,\chi)$  defined by

$$\cosh ze^{i\chi} = \gamma(x,y,r,\psi),$$

and applying the same arguments as in the preliminary section for (2.1), the identity (3.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= -2M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^{\pi} \sigma_{x,y,z}^{\chi} g(x,y,z,\chi)_{+}^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Using the evenness in  $(x,y,z)$  of  $g(x,y,z,\chi)$ , and the following symmetries

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) = \text{sign}(xy) \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(|x|,|y|),$$

$$\sigma_{x,y,z}^{\chi} = \text{sign}(xy) \sigma_{|x|,|y|,z}^{\chi},$$

we get for all  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= -M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) (\sinh |x| \sinh |y| \sinh |z|)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\quad \left\{ \int_0^{\pi} \sigma_{x,y,z}^{\chi} g(x,y,z,\chi)_{+}^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in order to handle the expression  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y)$  for  $x,y > 0$ , we use the product formulas (2.7) for  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) \\
&= \frac{\rho(\rho+i\lambda)}{8(\alpha+1)^2} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y) \\
&= \frac{\rho M_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}}{(\alpha+1)} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} \sinh(2x) \sinh(2y) (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha-2} \frac{\rho+i\lambda}{4(\alpha+1)} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(z) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(z) dz \\
&= 2 \frac{\rho}{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) (\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^{-2\alpha} \coth x \coth y \coth z \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(z) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Arguing again by evenness and oddness, and using the fact that  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) = \text{sign}(xy) \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(|x|,|y|)$  for  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we conclude that for all  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x,y) &= \frac{\rho}{\beta+\frac{1}{2}} M_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) (\sinh |x| \sinh |y| \sinh |z|)^{-2\alpha} \\
&\quad (\coth x \coth y \coth z) \left\{ \int_0^\pi g(x,y,z,\chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta+2} d\chi \right\} A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.4, and therefore the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

Next we turn our attention to the case  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ . For  $x,y,z \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$\sigma_{x,y,z} = \begin{cases} \frac{\cosh 2x \cosh 2y - \cosh 2z}{\sinh 2x \sinh 2y}, & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } xy = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, we define the kernel  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$  by

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(x,y,z) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sigma_{x,y,z} + \sigma_{z,x,y} + \sigma_{z,y,x} + 2(1-B^2) \coth x \coth y \coth z) W_{\alpha,\alpha}(x,y,z)$$

if  $||x| - |y|| < |z| < |x| + |y|$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(x,y,z) = 0$  otherwise. Recall the expressions of  $1 - B^2$  and  $W_{\alpha,\alpha}$  from (2.10) and (2.11) respectively. The symmetry properties of  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}$  (see Remark 3.1) remain true for  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *In the case  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$  the product formula reads*

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z) d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha)}(z), \quad (3.8)$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Here

$$d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha)}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_\alpha(x, y, z)A_{\alpha,\alpha}(|z|)dz, & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ \delta_x, & \text{if } y = 0, \\ \delta_y, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* Applying the same arguments as for the case  $\alpha > \beta$ , we obtain

$$G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(y) = 1/2 \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z)W_{\alpha,\alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|)A_{\alpha,\alpha}(|z|)dz,$$

and

$$G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(y) = 1/2 \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z)\sigma_{z,x,y}W_{\alpha,\alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|)A_{\alpha,\alpha}(|z|)dz,$$

where  $I_{x,y} = [-|x| - |y|, -||x| - |y||] \cup [||x| - |y||, |x| + |y|]$  and  $\sigma_{x,y,z}$  is as in (3.7). On the other hand, for  $x, y > 0$ , we have

$$G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x)G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(y) = \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x, y) + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x, y),$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}$  are as in (3.4) and (3.5) with  $\alpha = \beta$ . Applying the same arguments as for  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ , we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x, y) &= -M_\alpha \int_0^\pi G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\arg \cosh |\gamma(x, y, 1, \psi)|) \cos \psi \sin^{2\alpha} \psi d\psi \\ &= - \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda,e}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z)\sigma_{x,y,z}W_{\alpha,\alpha}(x, y, z)A_{\alpha,\alpha}(z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the change of variables  $\psi \mapsto z$  defined by  $\cosh z = |\gamma(x, y, 1, \psi)|$ . Thus, for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,1}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z)\sigma_{x,y,z}W_{\alpha,\alpha}(|x|, |y|, |z|)A_{\alpha,\alpha}(|z|)dz.$$

On the other hand, by using the product formula (2.7) for  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\alpha+1)}$ , we get for  $x, y > 0$

$$\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x, y) = \frac{2\alpha + 1}{2(\alpha + 1)} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z) \frac{\sinh 2x \sinh 2y}{\sinh 2z} W_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}(z) dz.$$

Since  $W_{\alpha+1,\alpha+1}(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+1/2}\right) \frac{1-B^2}{(\sinh x \sinh y \sinh z)^2} W_{\alpha,\alpha}(x, y, z)$ , it follows that for  $x, y > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(x, y) \\ &= \frac{2\alpha + 1}{(\alpha + 1/2)} \int_{|x-y|}^{x+y} G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z)(1 - B^2) \coth x \coth y \coth z W_{\alpha,\alpha}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha,\alpha}(z) dz \\ &= \int_{I_{x,y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(z)(1 - B^2) \coth x \coth y \coth |z| W_{\alpha,\alpha}(x, y, |z|) A_{\alpha,\alpha}(|z|) dz. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$G_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\varepsilon x) = \varphi_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\varepsilon x) + \frac{2\alpha + 1 + i\lambda/\varepsilon}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh(2\varepsilon x) \varphi_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\varepsilon x).$$

Using the fact that

$$\frac{\Gamma(z + a)}{\Gamma(z + b)} = z^{a-b} \{1 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})\} \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

and the fact

$$\varphi_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\varepsilon x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha + 1 + \frac{i\lambda}{\varepsilon}), \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha + 1 - \frac{i\lambda}{\varepsilon}); \alpha + 1; -\sinh^2 \varepsilon x\right),$$

we deduce that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\varepsilon x) = j_\alpha(x\lambda),$$

where  $j_\alpha(x)$  is the normalized Bessel function

$$j_\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + k)k!} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} G_{\lambda/\varepsilon}^{(\alpha,\alpha)}(\varepsilon x) = j_\alpha(x\lambda) + \frac{i\lambda x}{2(\alpha + 1)} j_{\alpha+1}(x\lambda). \quad (3.10)$$

The right hand side of (3.10) is the so-called Dunkl kernel  $E_\alpha(i\lambda, x)$  in dimension 1. Moreover, it is proved in [13] that

$$E_\alpha(i\lambda, x)E_\alpha(i\lambda, y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} E_\alpha(i\lambda, z)k_\alpha(x, y, z)|z|^{2\alpha+1}dz, \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_\alpha(x, y, z) &= 2^{-2\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} [1 - \varsigma_{x,y,z} + \varsigma_{z,x,y} + \varsigma_{z,y,x}] \\ &\quad \times \frac{(((x + y)^2 - z^2)(z^2 - (x - y)^2))^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{(xyz)^{2\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varsigma_{x,y,z} = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 + y^2 - z^2}{2xy} & \text{if } xy \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } xy = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

**Proposition 3.6.** *For  $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ , the following limit holds*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-2\alpha-2} \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z) = k_\alpha(x, y, z).$$

*Proof.* For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_\alpha(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sigma_{\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z} + \sigma_{\varepsilon z, \varepsilon x, \varepsilon y} + \sigma_{\varepsilon z, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon x} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - B_\varepsilon^2) \coth \varepsilon x \coth \varepsilon y \coth \varepsilon z \right) W_{\alpha, \alpha}(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$1 - B_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{\sinh \varepsilon(x + y + z) \sinh \varepsilon(x + y - z) \sinh \varepsilon(x - y + z) \sinh \varepsilon(-x + y + z)}{4 \cosh^2 \varepsilon x \cosh^2 \varepsilon y \cosh^2 \varepsilon z}.$$

We may rewrite  $\sigma_{x, y, z}$  as:

$$\sigma_{x, y, z} = \frac{\sinh^2 x + \sinh^2 y + 2 \sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y - \sinh^2 z}{2 \cosh x \cosh y \sinh x \sinh y}.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sigma_{\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z} = \frac{x^2 + y^2 - z^2}{2xy} = \varsigma_{x, y, z},$$

where  $\varsigma_{x, y, z}$  is as in (3.12). Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$1 - B_\varepsilon^2 \sim \frac{\varepsilon^4}{4} ((x + y)^2 - z^2)(z^2 - (x - y)^2) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (1 - B_\varepsilon^2) \coth \varepsilon x \coth \varepsilon y \coth \varepsilon z = 0.$$

By using the expression (2.11) of  $W_{\alpha, \alpha}$ , we conclude that

$$W_{\alpha, \alpha}(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y, \varepsilon z) \sim 2^{-2\alpha} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \varepsilon^{-2\alpha - 2} \frac{(((x + y)^2 - z^2)(z^2 - (x - y)^2))^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}}{(xyz)^{2\alpha}}$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

**Remark 3.7.** *If we replace  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda/\varepsilon$  and  $(x, y)$  by  $(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y)$  in Theorem 3.5, then we recover the product formula (3.11) for the Dunkl kernels from (3.8) as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

- (i) *For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\text{supp}(\mu_{x, y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}) \subset I_{x, y}$ .*
- (ii) *For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  with  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\mu_{x, y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ .*
- (iii) *For  $\alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\|\mu_{x, y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\| \leq 4 + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}$ .*
- (iv) *For  $\alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have  $\|\mu_{x, y}^{(\alpha, \alpha)}\| \leq \frac{5}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) is obvious.

(ii) This claim follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 and the fact that  $G_{ip}^{(\alpha, \beta)} \equiv 1$ .

(iii) From the proof of Theorem 3.2, we may rewrite the product formula for  $G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  as follows:

$$G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(y) = \int_{I_{x, y}} G_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz + \mathcal{I}_{\lambda, 2}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, y),$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is given by (3.5) and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) &:= M_{\alpha,\beta}(\sinh |x| \sinh |y| \sinh |z|)^{-2\alpha} \\ &\int_0^\pi (1 - \sigma_{x,y,z}^\chi + \sigma_{z,y,x}^\chi + \sigma_{z,x,y}^\chi) g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha-\beta-1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi. \end{aligned}$$

By [1, Proposition 2.7], we have

$$\int_{I_{x,y}} |\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz \leq 4.$$

On the other hand, using the product formula (2.1) for the Jacobi functions, we may rewrite  $\mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\lambda,2}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x, y) &= \frac{\rho(\rho + i\lambda)}{8(\alpha + 1)^2} \sinh(2x) \sinh(2y) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(x) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(y) \\ &= \frac{\rho(i\lambda + \rho)}{8(\alpha + 1)^2} \sinh(2x) \sinh(2y) \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1,\beta+1)}(\arg \cosh(|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|)) dm_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(r, \psi) \\ &= \frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh(2x) \sinh(2y) \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{G_{\lambda,o}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\arg \cosh(|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|))}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} dm_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(r, \psi), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma(x, y, r, \psi) = \cosh x \cosh y + r e^{i\psi} \sinh x \sinh y$ . In order to conclude, it remains for us to prove the following inequality

$$\frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{dm_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(r, \psi)}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\beta + 1)}.$$

By expressing  $|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|$  and  $dm_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}$ , the left hand side becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{dm_{\alpha+1,\beta+1}(r, \psi)}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} \\ &= \frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \left[ \frac{2\Gamma(\alpha + 2)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{3}{2})} \right] \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\ &\quad \times r^{2\beta+3} (\sin \psi)^{2\beta+2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\cosh x \cosh y + r \cos \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2 + (r \sin \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\cosh x \cosh y + r \cos \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2 + (r \sin \psi \sinh x \sinh y)^2 - 1}} dr d\psi \\ &= \frac{\rho \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi (1 - r^2)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (r \sin \psi)^{2\beta+2} \frac{dr d\psi}{\sqrt{U + \cos \psi} \sqrt{V + \cos \psi}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U = \frac{\cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y + r^2 \sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y}{2r \cosh x \cosh y \sinh x \sinh y},$$

and

$$V = \frac{\cosh^2 x \cosh^2 y + r^2 \sinh^2 x \sinh^2 y - 1}{2r \cosh x \cosh y \sinh x \sinh y}.$$

Since

$$U - 1 > V - 1 = \frac{(\cosh x \cosh y - r \sinh x \sinh y)^2 - 1}{2r \cosh x \cosh y \sinh x \sinh y} \geq 0,$$

we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rho}{4(\alpha + 1)} \sinh 2x \sinh 2y \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \frac{dm_{\alpha+1, \beta+1}}{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)| \sqrt{|\gamma(x, y, r, \psi)|^2 - 1}} \\ & \leq \frac{\rho \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi (1 - r^2)^{\alpha - \beta - 1} (r \sin \psi)^{2\beta + 2} (1 + \cos \psi)^{-1} dr d\psi \\ & = \frac{\rho \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})}{2 \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(\beta + 1)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\beta + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

using classical formulas for the Beta and Gamma functions.

(iv) is proved in a similar way, using use the product formula (2.3) for  $\varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \alpha)}$  instead of (2.1).  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** For all  $\alpha \geq \beta > -\frac{1}{2}$ , the measures  $\mu_{x, y}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  are not positive in general. Indeed, we may rewrite the kernel  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}$  as

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y, z) = M_{\alpha, \beta} (\sinh |x| \sinh |y| \sinh |z|)^{-2\alpha} \left[ \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x, y, z) + \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)}(x, y, z) \right],$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x, y, z) = \int_0^\pi g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1} \varrho^\chi(x, y, z) (\sin \chi)^{2\beta} d\chi$$

with

$$\varrho^\chi(x, y, z) = 1 - \sigma_{x, y, z}^\chi + \sigma_{z, x, y}^\chi + \sigma_{z, y, x}^\chi,$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)}(x, y, z) = \frac{\rho}{\beta + \frac{1}{2}} \coth x \coth y \coth z \int_0^\pi g(x, y, z, \chi)_+^{\alpha - \beta - 1} (\sin \chi)^{2\beta + 2} d\chi.$$

Assume that  $x > 0$ . It is easy to see that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \chi} \varrho^\chi(x, x, -\frac{x}{2}) \leq 0$ , for all  $\chi \in [0, \pi]$ , and therefore  $\varrho^\chi(x, x, -\frac{x}{2}) \leq \varrho^0(x, x, -\frac{x}{2})$ . Moreover, by studying the growth of the function  $x \mapsto \varrho^0(x, x, -\frac{x}{2})$ , one can check that  $\varrho^0(x, x, -\frac{x}{2}) \leq -\frac{3}{8}$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x, x, -\frac{x}{2}) < 0$ . Obviously  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}^{(2)}(x, x, -\frac{x}{2}) \leq 0$ . Hence, there exists a neighborhood of  $-\frac{x}{2}$  in the support of  $\mu_{x, x}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  for which  $z \mapsto \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(x, x, z)$  is strictly negative.

## 4. THE CONVOLUTION PRODUCT

For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ , the Opdam-Cherednik Fourier transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $A_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = (\sinh x)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh x)^{2\beta+1}$ . The inverse Fourier transform of a suitable function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  is given by:

$$\mathcal{J}g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\lambda) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi |c_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda)|^2},$$

where

$$c_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) := \frac{2^{\rho-i\lambda} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\rho+i\lambda)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+1+i\lambda))}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus i\mathbb{N}.$$

We refer to [11] for more details on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We may express the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  in terms of the Jacobi transform

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(f)(\lambda) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(x) dx. \quad (4.2)$$

More precisely:

**Lemma 4.1.** *For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = 2\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(f_e)(\lambda) + 2(\rho + i\lambda)\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(Jf_o)(\lambda),$$

where  $f_e$  (resp.  $f_o$ ) denotes the even (resp. odd) part of  $f$ , and

$$Jf_o(x) := \int_{-\infty}^x f_o(t) dt.$$

*Proof.* Write  $f = f_e + f_o$ . Firstly, if  $\lambda = -i\rho$ , then

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx = 2\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(f_e)(i\rho).$$

Secondly, if  $\lambda \neq -i\rho$ , we have

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) = 2\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(f_e)(\lambda) + \frac{2}{\rho - i\lambda} \int_0^{\infty} f_o(x) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(x) dx.$$

Let  $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$  be the Jacobi operator given by

$$\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} f(x) = \frac{1}{A_{\alpha, \beta}(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left[ A_{\alpha, \beta}(x) \frac{d}{dx} f(x) \right].$$

By integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty f_o(x) \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\
&= - \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \frac{1}{A_{\alpha,\beta}(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left[ A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \frac{d}{dx} (Jf_o(x)) \right] A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\
&= -\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} Jf_o)(\lambda) \\
&= (\lambda^2 + \rho^2) \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(Jf_o)(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

□

The following Plancherel formula was proved by Opdam [11, Theorem 9.13(3)]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (|\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^2 + |\mathcal{F}(\check{f})(\lambda)|^2) \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi|c(\lambda)|^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \overline{\mathcal{F}(\check{f})(-\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{i\lambda}\right) \frac{d\lambda}{8\pi|c(\lambda)|^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\check{f}(x) := f(-x)$ . The following result can be proved by specializing [16, Theorem 4.1].

**Theorem 4.2.** *The Opdam-Cherednik Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  and its inverse  $\mathcal{J}$  are topological isomorphisms between the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbb{R}) = (\cosh x)^{-\rho} \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Recall that  $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$ .*

**Definition 4.3.** *Let  $f$  be a suitable function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ , with  $\alpha \neq -\frac{1}{2}$ , we define the generalized translation operator  $\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  by*

$$\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z),$$

where  $d\mu_{x,y}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is given by (3.2) for  $\alpha > \beta$ , and by (3.9) for  $\alpha = \beta$ .

The following proposition is clear. However for completeness we will sketch its proof.

**Proposition 4.4.** *For a suitable function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have*

- (i)  $\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(y) = \tau_y^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(x)$ .
- (ii)  $\tau_0^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(y) = f(y)$ .
- (iii)  $\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} \tau_y^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \tau_y^{(\alpha,\beta)} \tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ .
- (iv)  $\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(y)$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{F}(\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(\lambda) = G_\lambda^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by (4.1).
- (vi)  $T^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f) = \tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)}(T^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)$ , where  $T^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is the Dunkl-Cherednik operator (1.1).

- Proof.* (i) follows from the property  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, z) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(y, x, z)$ .  
(ii) follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(0, y, z) = \delta_y$ .  
(iii) follows from the fact that the function

$$H(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_1, y_1, z) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x_2, y_2, z) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz$$

is symmetric in the four variables.

(iv) follows from the product formula for  $G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ .

(v) For  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f(z) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-z) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, z, t) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|t|) dt \right] G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-z) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-z) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, z, t) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|z|) dz \right] A_{\alpha,\beta}(|t|) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, z, t) = \mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, -t, -z)$ , it follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)(\lambda) &= G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-t) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|t|) dt \\ &= G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

(vi) This property follows from the injectivity of  $\mathcal{F}$  and the fact that  $\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)}(T^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)$  and  $T^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f)$  have the same Fourier transform, namely

$$\lambda \mapsto i\lambda G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda).$$

□

**Lemma 4.5.** For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|t|) dt)$ , and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\|\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f\|_p \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \|f\|_p, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$C_{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} 4 + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}, & \text{if } \alpha > \beta > -\frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{5}{2}, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta > -\frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* For  $p = \infty$ , the inequality (4.3) follows from Theorem 3.7. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we use again the boundness of  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, t)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) dy$  and Hölder's inequality to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_x^{(\alpha,\beta)} f\|_p^p &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta}^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t)|^p |\mathcal{K}_{\alpha,\beta}(x, y, t)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(|t|) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|y|) dt dy \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta}^p \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 4.6.** For suitable functions  $f$  and  $g$ , we define the convolution product  $f *_{\alpha, \beta} g$  by

$$f *_{\alpha, \beta} g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(-y) g(y) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|y|) dy.$$

**Remark 4.7.** It is clear that this convolution product is both commutative and associative:

- (i)  $f *_{\alpha, \beta} g = g *_{\alpha, \beta} f$ .
- (ii)  $(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g) *_{\alpha, \beta} h = f *_{\alpha, \beta} (g *_{\alpha, \beta} h)$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $\mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$  be the space of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  supported in  $[-a, a]$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{D}_a(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in \mathcal{D}_b(\mathbb{R})$ , we have  $f *_{\alpha, \beta} g \in \mathcal{D}_{a+b}(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathcal{F}(g)(\lambda).$$

*Proof.* By definition we have

$$\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tau_x^{(\alpha, \beta)} f(-y) g(y) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|y|) dx dy.$$

Using the product formula for  $G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  and Remark 3.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha, \beta} g)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x) \mathcal{K}_{\alpha, \beta}(-z, -y, -x) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx \\ &\quad \times A_{\alpha, \beta}(|y|) dy A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz \\ &= \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-z) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|z|) dz \right\} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-y) A_{\alpha, \beta}(|y|) dy \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathcal{F}(g)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

By standard arguments, the following statement follows from Lemma 4.5.

**Proposition 4.9.** Assume that  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$  satisfy  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 = \frac{1}{r}$ . Then, for every  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx)$  and  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx)$ , we have  $f *_{\alpha, \beta} g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha, \beta}(|x|) dx)$ , and

$$\|f *_{\alpha, \beta} g\|_r \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q,$$

where  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  is as in (4.4).

## 5. THE KUNZE-STEIN PHENOMENON

This remarkable phenomenon was first observed by Kunze and Stein [8] for the group  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  equipped with its Haar measure. They proved that

$$L^p(G) * L^2(G) \subset L^2(G) \quad \forall 1 \leq p < 2.$$

By such inclusion, we mean the existence of a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that the following inequality holds:

$$\|f * g\|_2 \leq C_p \|f\|_p \|g\|_2 \quad \forall f \in L^p(G), \quad \forall g \in L^2(G).$$

This result was generalized by Cowling [2] to connected non compact semisimple Lie groups with finite center. We prove the following analog in our setting (we understand that Trimèche has recently extended this result to higher dimensions).

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx). \quad (5.2)$$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, by the Plancherel formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)|^2(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi|c(\lambda)|^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi|c(\lambda)|^2} \\ &\leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \{\pm 1\}} |\mathcal{F}(w \cdot g)(\lambda)|^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi|c(\lambda)|^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |\mathcal{F}(\check{f})(\lambda)|^2 \frac{d\lambda}{16\pi|c(\lambda)|^2} \right] \\ &= \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, w \in \{\pm 1\}} |\mathcal{F}(w \cdot g)(\lambda)|^2 \|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\mathcal{F}(f *_{\alpha,\beta} g) = \mathcal{F}(\check{f})\mathcal{F}(g)$ . Next, if  $p$  and  $q$  are dual indices, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(w \cdot g)(\lambda)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(wx)| |G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-x)| A_{\alpha,\beta}(x) dx \\ &\leq \|g\|_p \|G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|_q \end{aligned}$$

using Hölder's inequality. Thus it remains for us to show that  $\|G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}\|_q$  is bounded uniformly in  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . This follows from Schapira's inequality [16]

$$|G_{\lambda}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)| \leq G_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the well-known estimate [5]

$$\varphi_0^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)e^{-\rho|x|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R},$$

which yield

$$|G_{\lambda}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)e^{-\rho|x|} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

As a conclusion

$$\|f *_{\alpha,\beta} g\|_2 \leq c_p \|f\|_2 \|g\|_p.$$

(ii) Let  $f, g, k \in \mathcal{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ . Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f *_{\alpha,\beta} g)(x) k(x) A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|) dx \right| &\leq C \|g\|_2 \|f * \check{k}\|_2 \\ &\leq c_p \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2 \|k\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|f *_{\alpha,\beta} g\|_q \leq c_q \|f\|_2 \|g\|_2$ . □

The following results are deduced by interpolation and duality from Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 4.8.

**Corollary 5.2.** (i) *Let  $1 \leq p < q \leq 2$ . Then*

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx).$$

(ii) *Let  $1 < p < 2$  and  $p < q \leq \frac{p}{2-p}$ . Then*

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx).$$

(iii) *Let  $2 < p, q < \infty$  such that  $\frac{q}{2} \leq p < q$ . Then*

$$L^p(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) *_{\alpha,\beta} L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx) \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx).$$

## 6. A SPECIAL ORTHOGONAL SYSTEMS

In this section we will construct an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ . We will also prove that by applying a certain limit argument we recover the Hermite functions for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, |x|^{2\alpha+1}dx)$ , constructed by Rosenblum in [13].

**Proposition 6.1.** *Fix  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\delta$  such that  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\delta > -1$ . We set*

$$\begin{cases} H_{2n}^\delta(t) := (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(1 - 2 \tanh^2 t), \\ H_{2n+1}^\delta(t) := (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\alpha+1,\delta)}(1 - 2 \tanh^2 t) \tanh t, \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

where  $P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(r) = {}_2F_1(-n, n + \alpha + \delta + 1; \alpha + 1; \frac{1-r}{2})$  denotes the Jacobi polynomial. Then  $\{H_n^\delta\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, by oddness we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n}^\delta(t) H_{2n+1}^\delta(t) (\sinh |t|)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt = 0.$$

Secondly, using the change of variable  $x = \tanh t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n}^\delta(t) H_{2m}^\delta(t) (\sinh |t|)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(1 - 2x^2) P_m^{(\alpha,\delta)}(1 - 2x^2) (1 - x^2)^\delta x^{2\alpha+1} dx \\ &= 2^{-\delta-\alpha} \int_{-1}^1 P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}(r) P_m^{(\alpha,\delta)}(r) (1+r)^\delta (1-r)^\alpha dr \\ &= 2^{-\delta-\alpha-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)\Gamma(\delta+n+1)}{(\alpha+\delta+2n+1)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\delta+n+1)} \delta_{n,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Above we have used the orthogonality of the Jacobi polynomials. The same argument gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n+1}^\delta(t) H_{2m+1}^\delta(t) |\sinh t|^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt \\ &= 2^{-\delta-\alpha-2} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n+2)\Gamma(\delta+n+1)}{(\alpha+\delta+2n+2)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\delta+n+2)} \delta_{n,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, it is known that  $\{P_n^{(\alpha,\delta)}\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$  span a dense subspace of  $L^2([-1, 1], (1-r)^\alpha(1+r)^\delta dr)$  (see for instance [15]). Hence  $\{H_{2n}^\delta\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$  and  $\{H_{2n+1}^\delta\}_{n=0}^{+\infty}$  span a dense subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)_e$  and  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, A_{\alpha,\beta}(|x|)dx)_o$  respectively.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *The Opdam-Cherednik transform of the basis  $\{H_n^\delta\}_n$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(H_{2n}^\delta)(\lambda) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta-i\lambda+1))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+i\lambda+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha+\beta+2)+n)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha-\beta+2)+n)} \\ &\quad \times P_n\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}; \frac{(\delta+1)}{2}, \frac{(\delta+1)}{2}, \frac{(\alpha+\beta+1)}{2}, \frac{(\alpha-\beta+1)}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(H_{2n+1}^\delta)(\lambda) &= \frac{i\lambda+\rho}{2} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta-i\lambda+1))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+i\lambda+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha+\beta+4)+n)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha-\beta+2)+n)} \\ &\quad \times P_n\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{4}; \frac{(\delta+1)}{2}, \frac{(\delta+1)}{2}, \frac{(\alpha+\beta+3)}{2}, \frac{(\alpha-\beta+1)}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(x^2; a, b, c, d) &= (a+b)_n (a+c)_n (a+d)_n \\ &\quad {}_4F_3\left(\begin{matrix} -n, & n+a+b+c+d-1, & a-x, & a+x; \\ a+b, & a+c, & a+d; \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

denotes Wilson polynomials.

*Proof.* Since  $H_{2n}^\delta$  are even functions, and therefore  $\mathcal{F}(H_{2n}^\delta)$  coincides with  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}(H_{2n}^\delta)$  (see (4.2) for the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\beta}$ ), it follows that (6.2) is nothing other than the identity (9.4) given in [10] without details. However, for completeness and since we shall use the same arguments in the proof of  $\mathcal{F}(H_{2n+1}^\delta)$ , we will give all the details. For (6.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n}^\delta(t) &= (-1)^n (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\delta,\alpha)}(2 \tanh^2 t - 1) \\ &= (-1)^n (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1(-n, \delta+\alpha+n+1; \delta+1; 1 - \tanh^2 t) \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} {}_2F_1(-n, \delta+\alpha+n+1; \delta+1; \cosh^{-2} t) \\ &= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\delta+\alpha+n+1)_m}{(\delta+1)_m m!} (\cosh t)^{-2m-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(H_{2n}^\delta)(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n}^\delta(t) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) |\sinh t|^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt \\
&= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (\delta+\alpha+n+1)_m}{(\delta+1)_m m!} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\cosh t)^{-2m-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) |\sinh t|^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By [10, formula (9.1)], we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty (\cosh t)^{-\mu-\alpha-\beta-1} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) (\sinh t)^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\mu-i\lambda)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\mu+i\lambda))}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+\mu+1)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+\mu+1))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n}^\delta(t) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) |\sinh t|^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt \\
&= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} \Gamma(\alpha+1) \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (n+\delta+\alpha+1)_m}{(\delta+1)_m m!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2}(\delta+1-i\lambda)) \Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2}(\delta+1+i\lambda))}{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+\delta+2)) \Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\beta+\delta+2))} \\
&= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta-i\lambda+1)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+i\lambda+1))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha+\beta+2)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha-\beta+2))} \\
&\quad \times {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, & \delta+\alpha+n+1, & \frac{1}{2}(\delta-i\lambda+1), & \frac{1}{2}(\delta+i\lambda+1), \\ \delta+1, & \frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha+\beta+2), & \frac{1}{2}(\delta+\alpha-\beta+2), & \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly :

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{2n+1}^\delta(t) &= (-1)^n (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} P_n^{(\delta, \alpha+1)}(2 \tanh^2 t - 1) \tanh t \\
&= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\delta-2} \tanh t \\
&\quad \times {}_2F_1(-n, n+\delta+\alpha+1; \delta+1; \cosh^{-2} t) \\
&= (-1)^n \frac{(\delta+1)_n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (n+\delta+\alpha+2)_m}{(\delta+1)_m m!} (\cosh t)^{-2m-\alpha-\beta-\delta-3} \sinh t.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}(H_{2n+1}^\delta)(\lambda) \\
&= -\frac{\rho + i\lambda}{4(\alpha + 1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{2n+1}^\delta(t) \sinh(2t) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(t) |\sinh t|^{2\alpha+1} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+1} dt \\
&= -4 \frac{\rho + i\lambda}{4(\alpha + 1)} \int_0^\infty H_{2n+1}^\delta(t) \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(t) (\sinh t)^{2\alpha+2} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+2} dt \\
&= -\frac{\rho + i\lambda}{\alpha + 1} (-1)^n \frac{(\delta + 1)_n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (n + \delta + \alpha + 2)_m}{(\delta + 1)_m m!} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^\infty (\cosh t)^{-\alpha-\beta-2-(2m+\delta+1)-1} \varphi_\lambda^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(t) (\sinh t)^{2\alpha+3} (\cosh t)^{2\beta+3} dt \\
&= -\frac{\rho + i\lambda}{\alpha + 1} (-1)^n \frac{(\delta + 1)_n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (n + \delta + \alpha + 2)_m}{(\delta + 1)_m m!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 2) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2m + \delta + 1 - i\lambda)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2m + \delta + 1 + i\lambda))}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 2m + \delta + 4)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta + 2m + \delta + 2))} \\
&= -2^{-1} (-1)^n \Gamma(\alpha + 1) (\rho + i\lambda) \frac{(\delta + 1)_n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(-n)_m (n + \delta + \alpha + 2)_m}{(\delta + 1)_m m!} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2m + \delta + 1 - i\lambda)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2m + \delta + 1 + i\lambda))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + 2m + \delta + 4)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta + 2m + \delta + 2))} \\
&= -(-1)^n (\rho + i\lambda) \frac{(\delta + 1)_n}{n!} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + 1 - i\lambda)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + 1 + i\lambda))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta + \delta + 4)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta + \delta + 2))} \\
&\quad \times {}_4F_3 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, & \delta + \alpha + n + 2, & \frac{1}{2}(\delta - i\lambda + 1), & \frac{1}{2}(\delta + i\lambda + 1); \\ \delta + 1, & \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \alpha + \beta + 4), & \frac{1}{2}(\delta + \alpha - \beta + 2); \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 6.3.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In the definition of  $H_n^\delta(t)$  we replace  $\delta$  by  $\varepsilon^{-2}$  and we make the change of variable  $t \rightarrow \varepsilon t$ . Then (6.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} H_{2n}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon t) = (\cosh \varepsilon t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\varepsilon^{-2}-2} P_n^{(\alpha, \varepsilon^{-2})}(1 - 2 \tanh^2 \varepsilon t), \\ H_{2n+1}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon t) = (\cosh \varepsilon t)^{-\alpha-\beta-\varepsilon^{-2}-2} P_n^{(\alpha, \varepsilon^{-2})}(1 - 2 \tanh^2 \varepsilon t) \tanh \varepsilon t. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that

$$P_n^{(a,b)}(1 - 2 \tanh^2 t) = \frac{(a+1)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1(-n, n + a + b + 1; a + 1; \tanh^2 t),$$

then we may express  $H_{2n}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon t)$  and  $H_{2n+1}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon t)$  in terms of the hypergeometric function  ${}_2F_1(-n, n + \alpha + \varepsilon^{-2} + 1; \alpha + 1; \tanh^2 \varepsilon t)$ . Moreover, it is easy to check that

$$\cosh(\varepsilon t)^{\varepsilon^{-2}} \sim e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

and that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} {}_2F_1(a, p; b; \frac{z}{p}) = {}_1F_1(a; b; z).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} H_{2n}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon t) &= \frac{(\alpha + 1)_n}{n!} e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} {}_1F_1(-n, \alpha + 1; t^2) \\ &= e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} L_n^\alpha(t^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon^{-1} H_{2n+1}^{\varepsilon^{-2}}(\varepsilon t) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} t L_n^{\alpha+1}(t^2),$$

where  $L_n^\alpha$  denotes the Laguerre polynomial. Thus we recover the even and the odd Hermite functions constructed by Rosenblum in the rational Dunkl setting [13, Definition 3.4].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Ben Salem and A. Ould Ahmed Salem, *Convolution structure associated with the Jacobi-Dunkl operator on  $\mathbb{R}$* , Ramanujan. J. 12 (2006), 359–378.
- [2] M.G. Cowling, *Herz's "principe de majoration" and the Kunze-Stein phenomenon In Harmonic analysis and number theory*, (Montreal, 1996), CMS Conf. Proc. 21, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997), 73–88.
- [3] I. Cherednik, *A unification of Knizhnik-Zamolodchnikov equations and Dunkl operators via affine Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. 106 (1991), 411–432.
- [4] I. Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras*, London. Math. soc. lect. note ser. 319, Cambridge univ. Press (2005).
- [5] M. Flensted-Jensen, *Paley-Wiener type theorems for a differential connected with symmetric spaces*, Ark. Math. 10 (1972), 143–162.
- [6] M. Flensted-Jensen and T. H. Koornwinder, *The convolution structure of the Jacobi functions expansion*, Ark. Math. 10 (1973), 247–262.
- [7] M. Flensted-Jensen and T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions : the addition formula and positivity of the dual convolution structure*, Ark. Math. 17 (1979), 139–151.
- [8] R.A. Kunze and E.M. Stein, *Uniformly bounded representations and harmonic analysis of the  $2 \times 2$  unimodular group*, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), 1–62.
- [9] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi polynomials III: an analytic proof of the addition formula*, SIAM J.Math.Anal. 3 (1975), 533–543.
- [10] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions and analysis on noncompact semisimple Lie groups, in Special functions: group theoretical aspects and applications*, R.A.Askey,T.H.Koornwinder and W. Schempp,(eds.) Reidel (1984), 1–84.
- [11] E.M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*, Acta. Math. 175 (1995), 75–121.
- [12] E.M. Opdam, *Lecture notes on Dunkl operators for real and complex reflection groups*, Mem. Math. soc. Japon 8 (2000).
- [13] M. Rösler, *Bessel-type signed hypergroups on  $\mathbb{R}$* . in *Probability measures on groups and related structures XI*. (Oberwolfach 1994), H. Heyer and A. Mukherjea (eds.) World Scientific (1995), 292–305.
- [14] M. Rosenblum, *Generalized Hermite polynomials and the Bose-like oscillator calculus*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. 73 (1994), 369–396.
- [15] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. (1939).

- [16] B. Schapira, *Contributions to the hypergeometric function theory of Heckman and Opdam: sharp estimates, Schwartz space, heat kernel*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008), 222–250.

JEAN-PHILIPPE ANKER, UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS & CNRS, FÉDÉRATION DENIS POISSON (FR 2964), LABORATOIRE MAPMO (UMR 6628), BÂTIMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, B.P. 6759, 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `anker@univ-orleans.fr`

FATMA AYADI, UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 2092 TUNIS EL MANAR, TUNISIE

*E-mail address:* `ayfatm@yahoo.fr`

FATMA AYADI, UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS & CNRS, FÉDÉRATION DENIS POISSON (FR 2964), LABORATOIRE MAPMO (UMR 6628), BÂTIMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, B.P. 6759, 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `Fatma.Ayadi@etu.univ-orleans.fr`

MOHAMED SIFI, UNIVERSITÉ DE TUNIS EL MANAR, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 2092 TUNIS EL MANAR, TUNISIE

*E-mail address:* `mohamed.sifi@fst.run.tn`